

ECFS - Email Filing  
<PROCEEDING>96-45  
<DATE>02/08/2005  
<NAME>Richard Anderson  
<ADDRESS1>  
<ADDRESS2>  
<CITY>Danville  
<STATE>VA  
<ZIP>24541  
<LAW-FIRM>  
<ATTORNEY>  
<FILE-NUMBER>  
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC  
<PHONE-NUMBER>  
<DESCRIPTION>  
<CONTACT-EMAIL>privatebox@mindspring.com  
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge  
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how  
contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am  
concerned that this proposal could make my current service  
unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make  
few long distance calls would pay the same as people or  
businesses that make many calls. I make few long-distance calls  
to avoid as much of the expense as possible since I am currently  
on a limited income. In other words, low-volume and primarily  
residential customers would bear the same universal service fund  
burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This  
is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I  
don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay  
less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to  
move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Richard Anderson  
145 Kenilworth Ave  
Danville, Virginia 24541